

The Lusternik-Schnirelmann Category of $\mathrm{Sp}(3)$

Revised Version

LUCÍA FERNÁNDEZ-SUÁREZ, ANTONIO GÓMEZ-TATO,
JEFFREY STROM AND DANIEL TANRÉ

Abstract

We show that the Lusternik-Schnirelmann category of the symplectic group $\mathrm{Sp}(3)$ is 5. This L-S category coincides with the cone length and the stable weak category.

AMS Classification numbers Primary: 55M30

Secondary: 22E20

Keywords: Lusternik-Schnirelmann category, Lie group.

1 Introduction

The Lusternik-Schnirelmann category of a CW complex X , $\mathrm{cat}(X)$, is the least integer n for which X can be covered by $n + 1$ subcomplexes, each of which is contractible in X . This invariant was introduced by Lusternik and Schnirelmann in [9]; they proved that any smooth function on a compact manifold M has more than $\mathrm{cat}(M)$ critical points.

Fox showed that Lusternik-Schnirelmann category is a homotopy invariant [4], so calculating of the category of spaces is a problem of homotopy theory. For the analytic applications, it is crucial to compute the L-S category of compact manifolds. Indeed, determining the L-S category of Lie groups is the first problem on Ganea's famous problem list [6].

The present status of this problem is as follows. Singhof determined the values $\text{cat}(\text{SU}(n)) = n - 1$ and $\text{cat}(\text{U}(n)) = n$ in [13]. The values $\text{cat}(\text{SO}(n))$ for $n < 5$ are easily computed by classical methods. More recently, James and Singhof [8] calculated $\text{cat}(\text{SO}(5)) = 8$. The symplectic groups have offered the most resistance: the only known value is $\text{cat}(\text{Sp}(2)) = 3$, which was obtained by Schweitzer [12] using secondary cohomology operations. Singhof extended the method to operations of arbitrarily large order, and proved in [14] the lower bound $\text{cat}(\text{Sp}(n)) \geq n + 1$.

Actually, Schweitzer proved a little bit more. He showed that the weak category of $\text{Sp}(2)$, which is a lower bound for $\text{cat}(\text{Sp}(2))$, is bounded below by 3. Since this lower bound coincides with an upper bound, namely the cone length, he concluded that $\text{cat}(\text{Sp}(2)) = 3$. (See §2 for the definitions.)

Our main result is the following.

Theorem 1 *The symplectic group $\text{Sp}(3)$ has Lusternik-Schnirelmann category 5.*

The proof has the same general outline as Schweitzer's argument for $\text{Sp}(2)$. That is, we show that the cone length of $\text{Sp}(3)$ is at most 5 and the weak category of $\text{Sp}(3)$ is at least 5, and conclude that $\text{cat}(\text{Sp}(3)) = 5$. Our methods are quite different, however: in place of higher order operations, we use decompositions of the diagonal map as in [15] together with the Hopf-Ganea invariants studied in [3].

Theorem 1 gives another proof of the fact, first proved in [18], that $\text{Sp}(3)$ satisfies Ganea's conjecture. To see this, simply apply Theorem 8 in [16], or observe that, in the terminology of [11], the stable class of the 5-fold reduced diagonal $\overline{\Delta}_5$ is a detecting class.

The rest of the paper is devoted to the proof of Theorem 1. In §2 we establish the basic definitions, notation and results that we will use. Section 3 is devoted to an overview of the proof and its reduction to three propositions. Their proofs are the content of the last three sections.

2 Background

All spaces are pointed; the basepoint of a space and the trivial map between two spaces are both denoted $*$. The (reduced) cone on a space

A will be denoted $\mathcal{C}(A)$. For a CW complex X , we denote by X_n the n -skeleton of X . The fat wedge in the n -fold cartesian product X^n of X with itself is the subcomplex

$$T^n(X) = \{(x_1, \dots, x_n) \mid \text{at least one } x_i = *\} \subseteq X^n.$$

The cofiber of $T^n(X) \hookrightarrow X^n$ is the n -fold smash product of X with itself, denoted $X^{\wedge n}$; the smash product of two spaces is denoted $X \wedge Y$, as usual. The diagonal map $\Delta_n : X \rightarrow X^n$ is the map defined by $\Delta_n(x) = (x, \dots, x)$. The reduced diagonal $\overline{\Delta}_n : X \rightarrow X^{\wedge n}$ is the composite of Δ_n with the quotient map $X^n \rightarrow X^{\wedge n}$.

According to Whitehead [19], the **category** of X is the least integer n for which the map $\Delta_{n+1} : X \rightarrow X^{n+1}$ lifts through $T^{n+1}(X)$, up to homotopy. The **weak category** of X , $\text{wcat}(X)$, is the least n such that $\overline{\Delta}_{n+1} \simeq *$; clearly $\text{wcat}(X) \leq \text{cat}(X)$. See [7] for a survey of Lusternik-Schnirelmann category and related invariants. The **strong category**, or **cone length**, of X is the least n for which there is a sequence of cofibrations $A_k \rightarrow X_{k-1} \rightarrow X_k$, $1 \leq k \leq n$, with $X_0 \simeq *$, $X_n \simeq X$, and $A_k = \Sigma B_k$ for $k > 1$ [2, 5].

We write $(f, g) : X \vee Y \rightarrow Z$ for the map with components $f : X \rightarrow Z$ and $g : Y \rightarrow Z$. We write $[f, g] : X \rightarrow Y \vee Z$ for the map with components $f : X \rightarrow Y$ and $g : X \rightarrow Z$; we use this notation only in the stable range, so there will be no ambiguity.

We refer to Toda [17] for information about the homotopy groups of spheres, and we use his notation here. In particular, $\omega \in \pi_6(S^3)$ denotes the Blakers-Massey element; ω is the attaching map of the 7-cell in a cellular decomposition $S^3 \cup D^7 \cup D^{10}$ of $\text{Sp}(2)$. Also, for $n > 3$, ν_n is the generator of the 2-primary component of $\pi_{n+3}(S^n)$. Write $C = S^3 \cup_{\omega} D^7$ and $C_n = S^n \cup_{\nu_n} D^{n+4}$ for $n > 4$.

We now recall a result from [1] that will be needed in the sequel.

Theorem A *If X is a compact n -manifold and an H -space, then in any CW decomposition $X = X_{n-1} \cup_{\alpha} D^n$, the map α is stably trivial.*

We will frequently use this fact in the case $X = \text{Sp}(n)$, $n = 2, 3$. It implies that if the connectivity of K is strictly greater than $\dim(X)/2$, then a composite $X \rightarrow S^{\dim X} \rightarrow K$ is essential if, and only if, $S^{\dim X} \rightarrow K$ is essential.

The key to our calculation is the following extension of a result from [3].

Proposition 2 *The space $\mathrm{Sp}(3)$ has two cone decompositions:*

$$(a) \quad \mathrm{Sp}(3) = C \cup D^{11} \cup D^{10} \cup D^{14} \cup D^{18} \cup D^{21}, \text{ with } \mathrm{wcat}(C \cup D^{11}) = 3, \\ \text{and}$$

$$(b) \quad \mathrm{Sp}(3) = S^3 \cup \mathcal{C}(C_6) \cup \mathcal{C}(C_9) \cup D^{18} \cup D^{21}.$$

Proof Part (a) is proved in [3], as is the fact that $\mathrm{Sp}(3)$ has a cellular decomposition of the form

$$\mathrm{Sp}(3) = S^3 \cup \mathcal{C}(C_6) \cup D^{10} \cup D^{14} \cup D^{18} \cup D^{21}.$$

It remains to show that the 10-cell and the 14-cell can be attached at the same time as the cone on a map $C_9 \longrightarrow S^3 \cup \mathcal{C}(C_6)$. Consider the locally trivial bundle $S^3 \longrightarrow \mathrm{Sp}(3) \longrightarrow V_{3,2}$, where $V_{3,2}$ is the homogeneous space $\mathrm{Sp}(3)/S^3$. This Stiefel manifold admits a cell decomposition $V_{3,2} = C_7 \cup D^{18}$, so $V_{3,2}$ has cone length 2 and hence $\mathrm{cat}(V_{3,2}) = 2$. We now apply [10, Theorem 6.2] to complete the proof. $\square$

Notice that it follows from (b) that $\mathrm{Sp}(3)$ has cone length at most 5. Therefore Theorem 1 will be proved once we show that $\mathrm{wcat}(\mathrm{Sp}(3)) \geq 5$.

3 Proof of Theorem 1

Throughout this section let X denote $\mathrm{Sp}(3)$ with the CW decomposition given by Part (a) of Proposition 2. Since the subcomplex $A = S^3 \cup \mathcal{C}(C_6) \subseteq X$ has weak category 2, we may decompose the 5-fold reduced diagonal

$\overline{\Delta}_5$ using the *main diagram*:

$$\begin{array}{ccccc}
X & \xrightarrow{\overline{\Delta}_3} & X \wedge X \wedge X & \xrightarrow{1 \wedge 1 \wedge \overline{\Delta}_3} & X^{\wedge 5} \\
\parallel & & \downarrow & & \parallel \\
X & \longrightarrow & X \wedge X \wedge (X/A) & \longrightarrow & X^{\wedge 5} \\
\parallel & & \uparrow & & \uparrow \\
X & \longrightarrow & C \wedge C \wedge C_{10} & \longrightarrow & C^{\wedge 5} \\
\downarrow & & \parallel & & \parallel \\
S^{18} \vee S^{21} & \xrightarrow{q} & C \wedge C \wedge C_{10} & \xrightarrow{1 \wedge 1 \wedge \gamma} & C^{\wedge 5} \\
& \searrow (k,h) & & &
\end{array}$$

The factorization in the second line is possible because $\text{wc}at(A) = 2 < 3$, and so $\overline{\Delta}_3$ factors through a map $X/A \rightarrow X^{\wedge 3}$. Neither this map, nor its restriction γ to C_{10} , is uniquely determined; however, the restriction to $S^{10} \subseteq C_{10}$ factors uniquely through the map $S^{10} \rightarrow S^9$ induced by $\overline{\Delta}_3 : \text{Sp}(2) \rightarrow \text{Sp}(2)^{\wedge 3}$, which is known to be η [12]. The third line is a cellular approximation and the fourth line is obtained by collapsing the 14-skeleton of X .

This diagram expresses the reduced diagonal $\overline{\Delta}_5$ as a composition of several maps, and our proof amounts to explicitly determining each of them. The details are contained in the proofs of the following three propositions.

We first show that the sphere S^{18} does not play a role in the map $\overline{\Delta}_5$.

Proposition 3 *In the main diagram, the composite $S^{18} \rightarrow X^{\wedge 5}$ is trivial.*

Thus we are reduced to determining the map $S^{21} \rightarrow X^{\wedge 5}$. Our second result shows that this map factors through the inclusion $S^{15} \hookrightarrow X^{\wedge 5}$.

Proposition 4 *The composite $S^{21} \rightarrow X^{\wedge 5} \rightarrow X^{\wedge 5}/S^{15}$ is trivial.*

Our final technical result determines the maps $S^{21} \rightarrow C \wedge C \wedge C_{10}$ and $C \wedge C \wedge C_{10} \rightarrow C^{\wedge 5}$.

Proposition 5 *There is a homotopy equivalence*

$$T : C \wedge C \wedge C_{10} \rightarrow C_{16} \vee S^{20} \vee S^{20}$$

such that:

- (a) $T \circ q = [\lambda, 0, 0]$ for some map λ , and
(b) there is a commutative diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccccc}
S^{21} & \xrightarrow{\lambda} & C_{16} & \xrightarrow{\quad} & C^{\wedge 5} \\
& \searrow \eta & \downarrow & & \downarrow \\
& & S^{20} & \xrightarrow{*} & C^{\wedge 5}/S^{15}.
\end{array}$$

We now use these three results to prove Theorem 1. The proofs of Propositions 3, 4 and 5 are given in the next three sections.

PROOF OF THEOREM 1. It follows from Proposition 5 that the map $h : S^{21} \longrightarrow C^{\wedge 5}$ factors through a map $C_{16} \longrightarrow S^{15}$. An examination of the third row of the main diagram reveals that the restriction of $C_{16} \longrightarrow S^{15}$ to S^{16} is the sixth suspension of the map $S^{10} \longrightarrow S^9$ induced by $\overline{\Delta}_3$. This map has been determined to be η in [3, 15, 12]. Therefore the map $S^{21} \longrightarrow S^{15}$ that lifts h is the composition on the third row of the diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccccc}
S^{20} & \xrightarrow{\eta} & S^{19} & & \\
\downarrow & & \downarrow \nu & & \\
* & \xrightarrow{\quad} & S^{16} & \searrow \eta & \\
\downarrow & & \downarrow & & \\
S^{21} & \xrightarrow{\quad} & C_{16} & \xrightarrow{\quad} & S^{15} \\
& \searrow \eta & \downarrow & & \\
& & S^{20} & &
\end{array}$$

In other words, the map $S^{21} \longrightarrow S^{15}$ is an element of the Toda secondary composition $\{\eta, \nu, \eta\}_0$, which is the singleton set $\{\nu^2\}$ by [17, Lemma 5.12]. This shows that the 5-fold reduced diagonal can be factored as in the diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc}
X & \xrightarrow{\overline{\Delta}_5} & X^{\wedge 5} \\
\downarrow & & \uparrow j \\
S^{21} & \xrightarrow{\nu^2} & S^{15}.
\end{array}$$

Since X is an H-space and a manifold, the attaching map for the 21-cell is stably trivial by Theorem A, and it follows that $j \circ \nu^2$ is the *unique* map

$S^{21} \longrightarrow X^{\wedge 5}$ making the diagram commute. Observe that the 22-skeleton of $X^{\wedge 5}$ has the homotopy type of the cofibre

$$\bigvee_{i=1}^5 S^{18} \xrightarrow{l} S^{15} \xrightarrow{k} X_{22} = (S^{15} \cup_{2\nu} D^{19}) \vee \bigvee_{i=1}^4 S^{19} \vee \bigvee_{i=1}^5 S^{22},$$

where l is the attaching map $(2\nu, 0, 0, 0, 0)$. This sequence gives an exact sequence of homotopy groups

$$\pi_{21} \left(\bigvee_{i=1}^5 S^{18} \right) \xrightarrow{l_*} \pi_{21} (S^{15}) \xrightarrow{k_*} \pi_{21} \left((S^{15} \cup_{2\nu} D^{19}) \vee \bigvee_{i=1}^4 S^{19} \right).$$

Since $2\nu \circ \nu = 0$, it follows that $k \circ \nu^2 \neq 0$ and so $j \circ \nu^2 \neq 0$. Consequently $\overline{\Delta}_5 \not\cong *$ and hence $\text{cat}(\text{Sp}(3)) = \text{wcat}(\text{Sp}(3)) = 5$. $\square$

4 Proof of Proposition 3

Since $\text{wcat}(X_{18}) \leq 4$, the map $\overline{\Delta}_4 : X_{18} \longrightarrow X^{\wedge 5}$ is trivial. Also, the inclusion $X_{18} \hookrightarrow X$ induces the inclusion of the first summand $S^{18} \hookrightarrow S^{18} \vee S^{21}$ after collapsing 14-skeleta. Thus we obtain the commutative diagrams

$$\begin{array}{ccccc} X_{18} & \xrightarrow{\quad} & X & \xrightarrow{\quad} & X^{\wedge 5} \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow & & \parallel \\ S^{18} & \xrightarrow{\quad} & S^{18} \vee S^{21} & \xrightarrow{(k,h)} & X^{\wedge 5} \end{array} \quad \text{and} \quad \begin{array}{ccc} X_{18} & \xrightarrow{\quad} & X^{\wedge 5} \\ \downarrow & & \parallel \\ S^{18} & \xrightarrow{*} & X^{\wedge 5}. \end{array}$$

We will show that there is a *unique* map $S^{18} \longrightarrow X^{\wedge 5}$ making the second diagram commute and conclude that $k = 0$.

From the cofiber sequence $X_{18} \longrightarrow S^{18} \longrightarrow \Sigma X_{14} \longrightarrow \Sigma X_{18}$, we see that it is enough to show that every map $\Sigma X_{14} \longrightarrow X^{\wedge 5}$ can be extended to ΣX_{18} . For this, we consider the commutative diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccccc} \Sigma X_{14} & \xrightarrow{\quad} & S^{15} & \xrightarrow{\quad} & X^{\wedge 5} \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow & \nearrow \text{---} & \\ \Sigma X_{18} & \xrightarrow{\quad} & S^{15} \cup_x D^{19} & & \end{array}$$

in which the attaching map x is some multiple of ν . Since the homotopy type of the 19-skeleton of $X^{\wedge 5}$ is given by

$$(X^{\wedge 5})_{19} \simeq (S^{15} \cup_{2\nu} D^{19}) \vee \left(\bigvee_{i=1}^4 S^{19} \right),$$

the extension will be possible if x is an even multiple of ν . If x were an odd multiple of ν , then the operation $\text{Sq}^4 : H^{14}(S^{14} \cup_x D^{18}) \longrightarrow H^{18}(S^{14} \cup_x D^{18})$ would be an isomorphism. But a simple calculation in $H^*(\text{Sp}(3); \mathbb{Z}/2)$ shows that this operation is zero. $\square$

5 Proof of Proposition 4

In this section we write $\overline{X}$ for $\text{Sp}(3)$ with the CW structure given by Proposition 2(b). We choose a new decomposition of the 5-fold reduced diagonal as follows:

$$\begin{array}{ccccc}
\overline{X} & \xrightarrow{\overline{\Delta}_2} & \overline{X} \wedge \overline{X} & \xrightarrow{\overline{\Delta}_2 \wedge \overline{\Delta}_3} & \overline{X}^{\wedge 2} \wedge \overline{X}^{\wedge 3} \\
\parallel & & \downarrow & & \parallel \\
\overline{X} & \longrightarrow & \overline{X}/\overline{X}_3 \wedge \overline{X}/\overline{X}_7 & \longrightarrow & \overline{X}^{\wedge 2} \wedge \overline{X}^{\wedge 3} \\
\downarrow & & \downarrow & & \downarrow \\
S^{21} & \longrightarrow & \overline{X}/\overline{X}_7 \wedge \overline{X}/\overline{X}_7 & \longrightarrow & (\overline{X}^{\wedge 2}/S^6) \wedge \overline{X}^{\wedge 3} \\
\parallel & & \uparrow & & \uparrow \\
S^{21} & \xrightarrow{p} & (S^{11} \vee S^{10}) \wedge (S^{10} \vee S^{11}) & \xrightarrow{\alpha \wedge \beta} & (S^{10} \vee S^{10}) \wedge S^9.
\end{array}$$

The construction of this diagram deserves some explanation. The second line is obtained from the triviality of $\overline{\Delta}_2|_{\overline{X}_3}$ and $\overline{\Delta}_3|_{\overline{X}_7}$. The third line is the result of collapsing the 18-skeleton on the first column and the 7-skeleton of the first factor on the last two columns. The fourth line follows from cellular approximation in each factor.

We determine the maps p , α and β in the following lemma.

Lemma 6 *The maps p , α and β are given by*

$$(a) \quad p = [0, \pm 1, \pm 1],$$

(b) $\alpha = ([\eta, \eta], [\pm 1, \pm 1])$, and

(c) $\beta = (\eta, \eta^2)$.

Assuming these values, we can perform the

PROOF OF PROPOSITION 4. Since the manifold $\mathrm{Sp}(3)$ is an H-space, the attaching map for the top cell is stably trivial by Theorem A. It follows that the map $\overline{X} \longrightarrow (S^{10} \vee S^{10}) \wedge C^{\wedge 3}$, which is in the stable range, is trivial if and only if $(\alpha \wedge \beta) \circ p : S^{21} \longrightarrow (S^{10} \vee S^{10}) \wedge S^9$, the extension to S^{21} , is trivial. When we expand this composite map using the identifications we have made, we find that:

$$\begin{aligned} (\alpha \wedge \beta) \circ p &= (([\eta, \eta], [1, 1]) \wedge (\eta, \eta^2))|_{S^{20} \vee S^{21} \vee S^{21}} \circ [0, 1, 1] \\ &= ([\eta, \eta], [\eta^2, \eta^2], [\eta^2, \eta^2]) \circ [0, 1, 1] \\ &= [(0 + \eta^2) + \eta^2, (0 + \eta^2) + \eta^2] = 0. \end{aligned}$$

Since $(C^{\wedge 5})_{22} = S^{15} \cup \bigcup_{i=1}^5 D^{19}$, the composite $S^{21} \rightarrow C^{\wedge 5} \rightarrow C^{\wedge 5}/S^{15}$ is trivial if each composite

$$S^{21} \rightarrow C^{\wedge 5} \rightarrow S_i^{19} \vee S_j^{19}$$

is trivial. The first part of this proof gives the triviality for some i and j . We claim now the triviality for any i and j . By Theorem A, there is a unique map h making the upper square of the diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \overline{X} & \xrightarrow{\overline{\Delta}_5} & \overline{X}^{\wedge 5} \\ \downarrow & & \uparrow \\ S^{21} & \xrightarrow{h} & C^{\wedge 5} \\ & \searrow * & \downarrow \\ & & S_i^{19} \vee S_j^{19} \end{array}$$

commutative. Permutation of the 5 factors of $\overline{X}^{\wedge 5}$ preserves the maps $\overline{\Delta}_5$ and $C^{\wedge 5} \rightarrow \overline{X}^{\wedge 5}$. Since h is unique, h is also preserved. The permutation *does* alter the indices i and j , and this proves the result. $\square$

Proof of Lemma 6. *Proof of (a)* By cellular approximation the map p lifts to $S^{20} \vee S^{21} \vee S^{21}$ in the diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc} S^{21} & \xrightarrow{p} & (S^{11} \vee S^{10}) \wedge (S^{10} \vee S^{11}) \\ & \searrow [a\eta, \pm 1, \pm 1] & \uparrow \\ & & S^{20} \vee S^{21} \vee S^{21}. \end{array}$$

The second and third coordinates follow from the cup product structure in $H^*(\mathrm{Sp}(3); \mathbb{Z})$. For the first coordinate there are two possibilities, namely the Hopf map or the trivial map, that we can represent by $a\eta$ where $\eta \in \mathbb{Z}/2$. We show now that $a = 0$.

From the inclusion of the primitive subspace $\overline{A} = S^3 \cup e^7 \cup e^{11} \hookrightarrow \overline{X}$, we construct the commutative diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \overline{X} & \xrightarrow{\overline{\Delta}_2} & \overline{X} \wedge \overline{X} \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ \overline{X}/\overline{A} & \longrightarrow & (\overline{X}/\overline{A}) \wedge (\overline{X}/\overline{A}) \\ \downarrow & & \uparrow \\ S^{21} & \xrightarrow{\overline{H}(\varphi)} & S^{10} \wedge S^{10} \end{array}$$

where the bottom square comes from the decomposition of $\overline{\Delta}_2$ through the Hopf invariant [3]. The space $\overline{X}/\overline{A} = (S^{10} \cup D^{14} \cup D^{18}) \cup D^{21}$ is of cone length less than 2 since $S^{10} \cup D^{14} \cup D^{18}$ is a suspension. Denote by $\varphi: S^{20} \rightarrow S^{10} \cup D^{14} \cup D^{18}$ the attaching map of the 20-cell. The composite of φ with the projection $S^{10} \cup D^{14} \cup D^{18} \rightarrow S^{14} \cup D^{18}$ is stably trivial, therefore trivial. As a consequence, the map φ lifts to S^{10} . The morphism of suspension $E: \pi_{19}(S^9) \rightarrow \pi_{20}(S^{10})$ is surjective [17, Theorem 7.3], and therefore the Hopf invariant $\overline{H}(\varphi)$ is zero.

Proof of (b) From the product structure of $H^*(\mathrm{Sp}(3); \mathbb{Z})$ we deduce that the map $\alpha: S^{11} \vee S^{10} \rightarrow S^{10} \vee S^{10}$ is given by $\alpha = ([b\eta, c\eta], [\pm 1, \pm 1])$ for some constants $b, c \in \mathbb{Z}/2$. We prove now that $b = c = 1$.

Consider the following diagram obtained by collapsing subcomplexes, cellular approximations and the decomposition of $\overline{\Delta}_2$ through Hopf-Ganea

invariants:

$$\begin{array}{ccccc}
S^3 \cup D^7 \cup D^{11} & \xrightarrow{\bar{\Delta}_2} & C \wedge C & \xrightarrow{1 \wedge \bar{\Delta}_2} & C \wedge C^{\wedge 2} \\
\downarrow & & \downarrow & \searrow & \uparrow \\
S^{11} & \longrightarrow & (C \wedge C)/S^6 & \xrightarrow{1 \wedge \eta} & C \wedge S^6 \\
& \searrow [b\eta, c\eta] & \uparrow \gamma & & \uparrow \\
& & S^{10} \wedge S^{10} & \xrightarrow{\bar{\gamma}} & S^9
\end{array}$$

The map γ induces the homomorphism $[1, 0]$ on H^{10} and hence also on the homotopy groups π_{10} , which means that $\bar{\gamma} = [\eta, 0]$, and the composite $S^{11} \rightarrow S^9$ is $b\eta^2$. Since this composite is known to be nonzero [3], it follows that $b = 1$.

The same proof, but now using $C \wedge C \rightarrow S^7 \wedge C$, reveals that $c = 1$.

Proof of (c) The map $\beta : S^{10} \vee S^{11} \rightarrow S^9$ must have the form $\beta = (s\eta, t\eta^2)$ with $s, t \in \mathbb{Z}/2$. It is defined by the diagram (with auxiliary space Z to be discussed below):

$$\begin{array}{ccccc}
Z & \xrightarrow{i} & \bar{X} & \xrightarrow{\bar{\Delta}_3} & \bar{X}^{\wedge 3} \\
\downarrow & & \downarrow & & \parallel \\
& & \bar{X}/\bar{X}_7 & \longrightarrow & \bar{X}^{\wedge 3} \\
& & \uparrow \text{17-skeleton} & & \uparrow \text{15-skeleton} \\
(S^{10} \vee S^{11}) \cup D^{14} & \longrightarrow & C^{\wedge 3} & & \\
\uparrow & & \uparrow \text{12-skeleton} & & \\
S^{10} \vee S^{11} & \xrightarrow{\beta} & S^9 & & \\
\uparrow j & & & & \\
S^k & \xrightarrow{j} & S^{10} \vee S^{11} & &
\end{array}$$

First we show that the first coordinate of β is η . For this, we choose $Z = \text{Sp}(2) \subseteq X$ (and $k = 10$). According to Schweitzer [12], $\text{wcat}(\text{Sp}(2)) = 3$. Since the inclusion $\text{Sp}(2)^{\wedge 3} \rightarrow X^{\wedge 3}$ is a 12-equivalence, we conclude that the bottom composite is essential, and this identifies the first component.

We study the second coordinate by taking $k = 11$ and $Z = S^3 \cup D^7 \cup D^{11} \subseteq \bar{X}$. By cellular approximation there is a unique map $Z \rightarrow S^9$ so that the composite $Z \rightarrow S^9 \hookrightarrow X^{\wedge 3}$ is $\bar{\Delta}_3|_Z$. Since $[\Sigma C, S^9] = [C, S^9] = *$, the quotient $Z \rightarrow S^{11}$ induces an isomorphism $[S^{11}, S^9] \xrightarrow{\cong} [Z, S^9]$. From the

fact that $\text{wc}(\mathcal{C}(Z)) = 3$ (cf. Proposition 2), we deduce that the composite $S^{11} \longrightarrow S^9$ is η^2 . $\square$

With exactly the same argument than in Part (a) of Lemma 6, one can prove:

Lemma 7 *The composite map $X \rightarrow X \wedge X \rightarrow X/A \wedge X/A$ is trivial.*

6 Proof of Proposition 5

We may take the homotopy equivalence T to be induced by an equivalence $\tilde{T}$ which makes the diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc}
S^{19} \vee S^{19} \vee S^{19} & \xrightarrow{\tilde{T}} & S^{19} \vee S^{19} \vee S^{19} \\
(\nu, 2\nu, 2\nu) \downarrow & & \downarrow (\nu, 0, 0) \\
S^{16} & \xlongequal{\quad\quad\quad} & S^{16} \\
\downarrow & & \downarrow \\
(C \wedge C \wedge C_{10})_{22} & \xrightarrow[\simeq]{T} & C_{16} \vee S^{20} \vee S^{20}
\end{array}$$

commute. There are many maps $\tilde{T}$ which suffice for this purpose; for simplicity, we choose to work with $\tilde{T} = ([1, 0, 0], [-6, 1, -3], [2, 0, 1])$.

We now consider the diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccccccc}
S^{21} & \xrightarrow{q} & C \wedge C \wedge C_{10} & \xrightarrow{T} & C_{16} \vee S^{20} \vee S^{20} & \longrightarrow & C^{\wedge 5} \\
& \searrow & \downarrow u & & \downarrow & & \downarrow v \\
& & S^{20} \vee S^{20} \vee S^{20} & \xrightarrow{\Sigma\tilde{T}} & S^{20} \vee S^{20} \vee S^{20} & \longrightarrow & C^{\wedge 5}/S^{15}
\end{array}$$

in which $\Sigma\tilde{T}$ has the same explicit description as $\tilde{T}$.

Proof of (a) From the explicit description of $\Sigma\tilde{T}$ we see that it is sufficient to show $u \circ q = [\lambda, 0, 0] : S^{21} \longrightarrow S^{20} \vee S^{20} \vee S^{20}$. Recall from Section 3 that A denotes the subcomplex $S^3 \cup \mathcal{C}(C_6) \subseteq X$, which has weak category 2.

The diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccccc}
S^{21} & \longrightarrow & X/X_3 \wedge X/A & \longrightarrow & (X \wedge X) \wedge X/A \\
\downarrow & & \downarrow & & \downarrow \\
S^{21} & \xrightarrow{*} & X/A \wedge X/A & \longrightarrow & (X \wedge X/S^3 \wedge S^3) \wedge X/A \\
& \searrow & \uparrow & & \uparrow \\
& & S^{20} & \xrightarrow{[1,1]} & S^{20} \vee S^{20}
\end{array}$$

shows that the composite

$$S^{21} \longrightarrow C \wedge C \wedge C_{10} \longrightarrow S^{20} \vee S^{20} \longrightarrow (X \wedge X)/(S^3 \wedge S^3) \wedge X/A$$

factors through $X \longrightarrow X/A \wedge X/A$ which was shown to be trivial in Lemma 7. Since $S^{20} \vee S^{20} \longrightarrow (X \wedge X/S^3 \wedge S^3) \wedge X/A$ is a 22-equivalence, this proves (a).

PROOF OF (b) The map we are interested in is the composite along the bottom of the diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccccc}
S^{21} & \longrightarrow & X/X_3 \wedge X/A & \longrightarrow & (X \wedge X) \wedge X/A \\
\downarrow & & \downarrow & & \downarrow \\
S^{21} & \xrightarrow{*} & X/X_3 \wedge X/X_{11} & \longrightarrow & (X \wedge X) \wedge X/X_{11} \\
& \searrow \simeq & \uparrow & & \uparrow \\
& & S^7 \wedge S^{14} & \xrightarrow{\eta \wedge 1} & S^6 \wedge S^{14}
\end{array}$$

The cup product structure of $\mathrm{Sp}(3)$ shows that the map $S^{21} \longrightarrow S^7 \wedge S^{14}$ is a homotopy equivalence; and the map $S^7 \wedge S^{14} \longrightarrow S^6 \wedge S^{14}$ is evidently the 14-fold suspension of the map $S^7 \longrightarrow S^6$ induced by $\overline{\Delta}_2$. This latter map was shown in [12] to be η .

Finally, we determine the composite $C_{16} \longrightarrow C^{\wedge 5} \longrightarrow C^{\wedge 5}/S^{15}$. The 22-skeleton of $C^{\wedge 5}/S^{15}$ is $(\bigvee_{i=1}^5 S^{19}) \vee (\bigvee S^{22})$. Therefore this composite factors through

$$S^{20} \xrightarrow{w} \bigvee_{i=1}^5 S^{19} \hookrightarrow C^{\wedge 5} \longrightarrow C^{\wedge 5}/S^{15},$$

where $w = [\epsilon_i \eta]$ is either 0 or η in each coordinate. Consider the commutative diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccccc}
 & & h & & \\
 & \curvearrowright & & \curvearrowleft & \\
 S^{21} & \xrightarrow{\lambda} & C_{16} & \xrightarrow{\quad} & C^{\wedge 5} \\
 & \searrow \eta & \downarrow & & \downarrow v \\
 & & S^{20} & \xrightarrow{\quad} & C^{\wedge 5}/S^{15},
 \end{array}$$

with $v \circ h \simeq *$ by Proposition 4. The composite can also be identified with $[\epsilon_i \eta^2]$, which shows that each $\epsilon_i = 0$ and therefore the map $S^{20} \longrightarrow C^{\wedge 5}/S^{15}$ is trivial. $\square$

References

- [1] W. Browder and E. Spanier, *H-Spaces and duality*, Pacific J. Math. **12** (1962), 411–414.
- [2] O. Cornea, *There is just one rational cone length*, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. **344** (1994), 835–848.
- [3] L. Fernández-Suárez, A. Gómez-Tato and D. Tanré, *Hopf-Ganea Invariants and weak LS category*. Top. Appl. **115** (2001), 305–316.
- [4] R. Fox, *On the Lusternik-Schnirelmann category*, Ann. of Math. **42** (1941), 333–370.
- [5] T. Ganea *Lusternik-Schnirelmann category and strong category*, Illinois J. Math. **11** (1967), 417–427.
- [6] T. Ganea, *Some problems on numerical homotopy invariants*, Symp. Algebraic Topology, Lecture Notes in Math., Springer Verlag (1971), **249**, 23–30.
- [7] I. M. James, *On category, in the sense of Lusternik and Schnirelmann*, Topology **17** (1963), 331–348.
- [8] I. M. James and W. Singhof, *On the category of fiber bundles, Lie groups, and Frobenius maps*, Contemporary Math. **227** (1999), 177–189.
- [9] L. Lusternik and L. Schnirelmann, *Méthodes topologiques dans les Problèmes variationnels*, Hermann, Paris, 1934.

- [10] H. Marcum, *Fibrations over double mapping cylinders*, Ill. J. Math. **24** (1980), 344–358.
- [11] Y. Rudyak, *On category weight and its applications*, Topology **38** (1999), 37–56.
- [12] P. A. Schweitzer *Secondary cohomology operations induced by the diagonal mapping*, Topology **3** (1965), 337–355.
- [13] W. Singhof, *On the Lusternik-Schnirelmann category of Lie groups*, Math Zeitschr. **145** (1975), 111–116.
- [14] W. Singhof, *On the Lusternik-Schnirelmann category of Lie groups II*, Math Zeitschr. **151** (1976), 143–148.
- [15] J. Strom, *Decomposition of the diagonal map*. Topology (to appear).
- [16] J. Strom, *Essential category weight and phantom maps*, In: Cohomological methods in homotopy theory, Progress in Mathematics **196**, Basel, Boston, Berlin, Birkhäuser, 2001.
- [17] H. Toda, *Composition Methods in the Homotopy Groups of Spheres*, Princeton Math Series **49**, Princeton University Press 1962.
- [18] L. Vandembroucq, *Fiberwise suspension and Lusternik-Schnirelmann category*, Topology (to appear).
- [19] G. W. Whitehead, *Elements of Homotopy Theory*, Graduate Texts in Mathematics **61**, Springer-Verlag, 1978.

Centro de Matemática (CMAT)
 Universidade do Minho (Gualtar)
 4710 Braga, Portugal
 lfernandez@math.uminho.pt

Departamento de Xeometría e Topoloxía
 Universidade de Santiago de Compostela
 15706 Santiago de Compostela
 España
 agtato@zmat.usc.es

Department of Mathematics, Dartmouth College
 Hanover, NH 03755, U.S.A.

`Jeffrey.A.Strom@Dartmouth.EDU`

Département de Mathématiques
UMR 8524
Université de Lille 1
59655 Villeneuve d'Ascq Cedex, France
`Daniel.Tanre@agat.univ-lille1.fr`